



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/582,752	12/26/2000	Kazuhito Hatta	P00.1335	2796

7590

07/11/2003

David R Metzger
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal
PO Box #061080
Wacker Drive Station Sears Tower
Chicago, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

MAPLES, JOHN S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1745	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/582,752

Applicant(s)

HATTA ET AL.

Examiner

John S. Maples

Art Unit

1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-40 and 49 ~~is/are~~ are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 49 ~~is/are~~ allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-40 ~~is/are~~ rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☒ Other: *Reasons for Allowance*.

Art Unit: 1745

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Keep! (2) ^{34, 36, 42, 50, 65} Claims 25-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fukuda et al.-

US 6,001,505 ('505) (~~New Rejection with regard to claim 32~~)

Reference is made to Figures 1-3 of '505 along with column 4, lines 4-40 and column 7, line 18 through column 9, line 67. The '505 patent discloses the dual insulating layer around each of the external terminals of the non-aqueous battery. *col. 5, l. 49-51; Dismiss CL 57 met by '505- SWHC committee*

Applicant's arguments regarding the above rejection have all been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant argues that '505 does not teach the sealant resin on the negative electrode lead having an uneven shape. Applicant further argues that the lead is smooth and not deformed. First of all, it is noted that this language "uneven shape" is very broad terminology. Also, the examiner alleges that in Figure 1 of '505, the sealant resin is indeed of an "uneven shape" noting that there are ridges found on this element 16a/b. Finally, the sealant resin configuration in Figure 1 of '505 does not appear to be any different than the configuration of the sealant resin in applicant's Figure 3 disclosure. Thus the sealant resin in '505 must be of an "uneven shape".

Keep! (3) ^{36 50-54, 61, 63-65} Claims 25-28 and ~~35-40~~ are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fukuda et al.-US 6,004,693 ('693)

Art Unit: 1745

See column 2, lines 54-68, column 4, lines 54-63 of '693 and column 7, lines 33-45 along with all of the drawing figures therein. The '693 patent sets forth the insulating layer around each of the battery terminals.

Again, all of applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. The arguments set forth by the examiner in refuting applicant's arguments based on '505 in the previous section apply equally to the arguments set forth by the applicant in relation to the '693 patent noting that configuration of the sealant resin is of the '693 patent is the same configuration as in the '505 patent.

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art show a non-aqueous battery including positive and negative electrodes wherein a claimed sealant resin surrounds each of the electrode terminal leads, wherein burrs are formed in the negative electrode terminal lead. The battery also includes a battery case heat welded wherein the leads extend outwardly from said welded case.


Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 703-308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:15-3:45.

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.


John S. Maples
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1745

JSM
July 10, 2003